

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,191	03/02/2004	Sang-Won Ha	053933-5063	2955	
9629 75	590 02/04/2005	EXAMINER			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			TALBOT, BRIAN K		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004		**	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1762		

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				The state of the s			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/790),191	HA ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
		Brian k	Talbot	1762			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of result of Months from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30). Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum status under the reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended p	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. days, a reply within the tory period will apply an II, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
)⊠ This action i	s non-final.				
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			,			
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or electio	n requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objecti	· ·	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be		- • •	- 1			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do		,	n)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	the priority docu	ments have been receiv				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • •	ed.			
A	A/a)						
Attachmen	• •		∆ □	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1762

1. The election, filed 12/14/04 has been considered and entered. Group II, claims 5-9, have been elected. Claims 1-4 are withdrawn from consideration and should be canceled in response to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okayasu (6,257,771) in combination with Delbare et al. (5,253,310) or Noddings et al. (2003-0053770).

Okayasu (6,257,771) teaches an optical/electrical hybrid wiring board and its manufacture. An optical fiber-embedded layer is provided as one layer of a multiple-layered electrical wiring board. The optical fiber embedded layer (25) is shown in Fig. 5. An adhesive material is applied to one side of the insulating sheet (22) to form an adhesive layer (23). Optical fibers are laid in a pattern on the adhesive (23). Upon completion of the fiber laying, a filler material (25A) is applied to form an embedded filler material with fibers.

Okayasu (6,257,771) fails to teach forming the fiber embedded structure by laying the fibers in a jig and dipping in epoxy to form the structure along with pressure and temperature.

Art Unit: 1762

Delbare et al. (5,253,310) teaches an ptical coupling structure whereby a structure (8) with grooves (10) is utilized to hold optical fibers in a predetermined array prior to embedding the fibers with a liquid epoxy and curing (col. 4, line 50 - col. 5, line 30).

Noddings et al. (2003-0053770) teaches a fabrication of optical devices and assemblies whereby optical fibers or waveguides are formed, cladding layer is applied, and the structure is encapsulated with an epoxy material. Pressure and temperature is used to for the structure. In Fig. 9, grooves (906) are formed in a substrate to hold the optical fibers (204) in place prior to the encapsulation material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Okayasu (6,257,771) process by incorporating a optical fiber holder as evidenced by Delbare et al. (5,253,310) or Noddings et al. (2003-0053770) with the expectation of controlling the arrangement of the embedded fibers during the embedding process.

While the Examiner acknowledges the fact that the prior art is silent with respect to the embedding process by dipping, it is the Examiner position that this process is a well known effective way to produce composite structures as is disclosed. The prior art teaches injecting the encapsulating material in a mold which would also produce the desired product. It is the Examiner's position that one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of achieving a similar product regardless of which conventional embedding means is utilized absent a showing of unexpected results. If Applicant disagrees, Applicant is invited to supply a showing of unexpected results and upon such a showing, the

Art Unit: 1762

Examiner will reconsider his position regarding the obviousness of the coating technique

utilized.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian K Talbot

Primary Examiner

KPalfor 2/3/05

Art Unit 1762

BKT